

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	io. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,034 09/09/2003		09/09/2003	Jean-Pascal Zambaux	ATMI-658	2051
25559	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
ATMI, I		· -	MIGGINS, MICHAEL C		
	ERCE DRI' RY, CT 06	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1772	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	L	
10/658,034	ZAMBAUX, JEANPASCAL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Miggins	1772		

	Michael C. Miggins	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10/10/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>14,15,17-19,23-26 and 28-38</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: 14,13,17-13,25-20 and 20-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidar	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	1.1	
		Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner	19

Art Unit: 1772

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that claims 14 and 23 were rejected under 102. However, claims 14 and 23 were only rejected under 103, see final rejection of 6/8/06, paragraphs 4 and 5. Applicant argues that Herbert does not disclose a pyrogen-free bag. However, Herbert discloses that the bags are pyrogen free (column 8, lines 1-26). Applicant argues that Herbert does not disclose that the bags are sterilized by heating to approximately 253 degrees C for approximately 30 minutes. However, applicant's heating steps are method limitations in a product claim which are given little patentable weight since method limitations are not germance to the patentability of a product in a product claim (MPEP 2113). Applicant claims a pyrogen free bag which is disclosed by Herbert and therefore the combined teachings of Yokokoji and Herbert read on applicant's claims as currently written.